

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,661	02/13/2004	Serge Vaudenay	3829-021-27	5640
²⁴⁵¹⁰ DLA PIPER U	ATENT GROUP	EXAMINER		
ATTN: PATENT GROUP 500 8th Street, NW			SIMITOSKI, MICHAEL J	
	N, DC 20004-2131		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/777,661	VAUDENAY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael J. Simitoski	2134
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matter	
Disposition of Claims	•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-7.9 and 11-13 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous that any objected to by the continuous continuou	accepted or b) objected to lead to lead on the drawing(s) be held in abeyand or rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been	oplication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/22/2005.	4) Interview S Paper No(s	KAMBIZ ZAND PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Jummary (PTO-413) Mail Date. formal Patent Application

Application/Control Number:

10/777,661

Art Unit: 2134

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The IDS of 3/22/2005 was received and considered.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are pending.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not a single paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The IDS of 3/22/2005 was considered. However, the reference to Chaum ("Zero-Knowledge undeniable signatures") does not appear to be included in the references submitted. A copy was found, considered, and is included on the Notice of references cited herewith.

Claim Objections

- 5. Claims 2-7, 9 & 11-13 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. Regarding claim 2, the limitation "I.e." should be replaced with "denoted as".
 - b. Regarding claim 5, the limitation "such as" should be replaced with "by".
 - c. Regarding claim 11, the limitation "calculating by the Signer the true signature" should be replaced with "calculating by the Signer a true signature".

10/777,661

Art Unit: 2134

- d. Regarding claim 12, the limitation "the check" (line 2) should be replaced with "a check".
- e. Regarding claim 13, the limitation "the check" (line 2) should be replaced with "a check".
- f. It is noted that any claims objected to in the heading of this section, but whose grounds for objection are not explicitly stated, are objected to based on a dependency upon an objected to claim, where appropriate.
- 6. Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-13 are allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance, based on the above assumptions in claim clarity:
 - g. Regarding claim 1, "Homomorphic Signatures Schemes" by Johnson et al. discloses that the RSA scheme is homomorphic (§1 & §5). Further, it is known that the RSA scheme operates on the abelian multiplicative group Z/mZ* (see Google Answers reference, p. 2, second to the last posting). U.S. Patent 6,292,879 to Gennaro et al. discloses Undeniable Certificates, which is an undeniable signature scheme. "RSA-Based Undeniable Signatures" by Gennaro et al. discloses undeniable signatures, including operating on a message using a one-way function and then applying the RSA encryption scheme (see p. 138, §3). Handbook of Applied Cryptography by Menezes et al. teaches

10/777,661 Art Unit: 2134

the ElGamal signature scheme in the multiplicative group Z^*p (an abelian group), applying a hash function (see p. 457, last ¶). However, the prior art of record fails to teach or disclose, either alone or in combination, a group homomorphism (f) to obtain a resulting value (yi), in which a number of elements of an initial group (G) is larger than the number of elements (d) of a destination group (H), in combination with the other elements of the claim.

h. Regarding claim 10, U.S. Patent 5,373,558 to Chaum et al. discloses confirming by a verifier a signature (Fig. 4), including obtaining a personal value from the signing (public key, see message 21 in Fig. 2), but lacks extracting a first sequence of elements from the public key, generating a second sequence of elements, randomly picking challenge parameters, computing a challenge value and verifying by the Signer, as claimed. "Convertible Undeniable Signature Scheme" by Yun et al. discloses an undeniable signature confirmation protocol, §3.2, but lacks the above steps. U.S. Patent 6,292,897 to Gennaro et al. also discloses an undeniable signature verification method (col. 4, lines 31-48), but also lacks the above steps, as claimed. "RSA-Based Undeniable Signatures" by Gennaro et al. discloses undeniable signatures, specifically the confirmation protocol, where elements from a public key are extracted (Sw), random values are picked (i,j), a challenge value is computed (Q) (see p. 139, Fig. 1), a commitment is created (commit(A) and the verifier verifies that A corresponds to the value committed to by P (p. 140, ¶1), but lacks the remainder of the step discussed above, as claimed. Handbook of Applied Cryptography by Menezes et al. teaches the

10/777,661

Art Unit: 2134

general structure of zero-knowledge protocols, where a first party chooses a commitment (which can consist of multiple parts or iterations), a second party randomly selects a challenge, the first party sends a response and the second party checks the response to verify that the first party knows a secret without requiring the first party to reveal the secret (see p. 409, #3 and Note 10.25 (iv)). However, the prior art of record fails to teach or disclose, either alone or in combination, the specific equations claimed as they relate to the values such as the public key, specifically, the claimed generating by the a second sequence of elements from the personal value, randomly picking the challenge parameters in sets G and Zd as claimed, and the computing of the values Ui and Vi by the signer and verifier, respectively, in combination with the other elements of the claim.

- i. Regarding claim 11, Menezes is the closes prior art, but differs from the claimed invention for at least the same reasons given above for claim 10. Further, the claim differs from the prior art of **Chaum**, **Yun** and **Gennaro** by claiming at least the steps of extracting by the Verifier a first sequence of elements from the public key, generating by the Verifier and Signer a second sequence of elements from the personal value, randomly picking by the Verifier challenge parameters from G and Zd (with respect to the public key), as specifically claimed, in combination with the other elements of the claim.
- Claims 2-9 are allowable based on their dependence upon claim 1 and claims 12 are allowable based on their dependence upon claim 11.

Art Unit: 2134

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

9. This application is in condition for allowance except for the formal matters outlined above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Simitoski whose telephone number is (571) 272-3841. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:45 a.m. - 4:15 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on (571) 272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/777,661

Art Unit: 2134

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

December 3, 2007

MJS

/MJS/

KAMBIZ ZAND